

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 2000

LB 936A, 936, 1348

would be less than fulfilling to the people who really have a problem. It would be better to do nothing than to do this. Or take what little money is going to be put in this bill and put it into LB 1348. But both of these cannot survive and I would hope that my colleagues would vote for the override on LB 1348. This is what people would prefer, LB 936, because it's not going to do anything. But I'll tell you what. Psychologists have said if a person perceives a thing as being real, it is real to that person in its consequences. So urban people will see this as their representatives participating in a shift of tax-paying responsibility and obligation from the urb...from the rural area to the urban people--more sales and income tax. And whether that is absolutely true in a substantive sense is not even the question. The perception is there. And the rural senators and their urban co-conspirators, co-perpetrators are working a sham on the people. On the street it's called running a game on somebody, and the Legislature should not be in that business. But the votes, having been cast for LB 936, they'll be there for LB 936A. There might be an attempt on Select File to offer substantive amendments and if those amendments are offered and they would...I don't know whether you can make this bill better, make it less bad, maybe some of them will be adopted. In any case, the bill is not designed to benefit workers, unemployed people or underemployed people. It's not geared to that and nobody can show me anything in this bill that moves in that direction. It is a sham, it is a fake, it is a hoax. The wily stalkers of headlines are interested in this bill. I think that I will not waste any more of the body's time or mine on this bill. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Matzke, you're recognized to close.

SENATOR MATZKE: Thank you, Mr. Lieutenant Governor. Senator Chambers, I have a proposition for you which I think you'll enjoy. I'm going to get a transcript of your arguments today and when we get, four years from now, when we get to the sunset of this bill we're going to get out your transcript and I'm going to read it very carefully, and if you were right, I will certainly apologize and I'll buy you a steak dinner or anything like that that you should choose. But I hope that you are wrong and I, of course, disagree with you. And I think we have